

Notes for March 31st

We have lots of statements that depend on something = open statement (These are not tautologies)

Example:

$$P(x) = 7x + 4 = 3$$

Plug in a variable \rightarrow get T or F

$$P(7) \rightarrow \text{false}$$

Definition: Suppose an open sentence $P(x)$ depends on the variable x . The universally qualified statement is the statement "for all x $P(x)$."

Notation: $\forall x =$ "for all x "

\forall is called the universal quantifier

Defintion: The existentially quantified statement is the statement "there exists x such that"

Notation: $\exists x =$ "There exists x such that"

\exists is called the existential quantifier

Example:

$$P(x) : 4x + 3 = 7 \text{ (Assume universe for all real numbers)}$$

$\forall x P(x)$ means "for all x (or for every x) $4x + 3 = 7$ "

$\exists x P(x)$ means "there exists x such that $4x + 3 = 7$ "

Remark: (i) Quantified statements will be either true or false -
i.e., they are propositions.

(ii) Truth value will depend on the universe -

e.g., $\forall x P(x)$ is false if the universe is all real numbers, but true if the universe is the set 1.

(Note: In general, m, n, k , are Integers, x, y are real numbers, z is complex, and f, g are functions.)

Quantifier Tautologies

$$1. \sim (\forall x P(x)) \Leftrightarrow \exists x (\sim P(x))$$

$$2. \sim (\exists x P(x)) \Leftrightarrow \forall x (\sim P(x))$$

These tautologies help us switch to and from universal and existential.

Example:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} f(x) = L \Leftrightarrow \text{"for every } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists a } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(x) - L| < \epsilon \text{"}$$

$$\text{Let } P(\delta) : |x - a| < \delta \Rightarrow Q(\epsilon) : |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$$

$$P(\delta) \Rightarrow Q(\epsilon)$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 (\exists \delta > 0 (P(\delta) \Rightarrow Q(\epsilon)))$$

When does a limit not exist?

$$\text{When: } \sim (\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L) \Leftrightarrow \sim (\forall \epsilon > 0 (\exists \delta > 0 (P(\delta) \Rightarrow Q(\epsilon))))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\exists \epsilon > 0 (\sim (\exists \delta > 0 (P(\delta) \Rightarrow Q(\epsilon))))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0 (\forall \delta > 0 (\sim (P(\delta) \Rightarrow Q(\epsilon))))$$

$$[\text{Recall: We will use: } (P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow \sim (P \wedge (\sim Q))$$

$$\text{To form: } \sim (P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow \sim (\sim (P \wedge (\sim Q)))]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0 (\forall \delta > 0 (P(\delta) \wedge (\sim Q(\epsilon))))$$

So $\sim (\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L)$ if and only if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ $|x - a| < \delta$ and $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$.

Basic Proof Strategies

Idea: Almost all mathematical propositions/theorems are of the form $P \Rightarrow Q$. How to show a statement of the form $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true.

1. Direct Proof:

Recall the truth table for $P \Rightarrow Q$ We will work with the cases where P is true, because Q may be either true or false in these cases.

Assume P is true. Then show (using a "proof") that Q is true.

Example: (In number theory)

We say: $a|b \Leftrightarrow \exists k \text{ such that } b = ka$, where a, b, and k are integers.

(i) If $a|b$, then $a|(-b)$

Direct Proof: Assume $a|b$ (Hypothesis)

Then there exists k such that $b = ka$ (Definition)

Then $-b = -(ka)$ (Rule of algebra = Previous Result)

So $-b = -(ka) = (-k)a$ (Associative properties = Previous Result)

Because $-b = -1(ka) = ((-1)k)a = (-k)a$

Thus, there exists a number -k such that $-b = (-k)a$ (Previous step in proof)

So $a|(-b)$ (Definition)